UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,518	03/01/2004	A. (Tony) W. Bradley	P06703US01	2747
	7590 03/18/200 RHEES & SEASE, P.I	EXAMINER		
801 GRAND A		ROSEN, ELIZABETH H		
SUITE 3200 DES MOINES,	IA 50309-2721	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	pplication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/791,51	8	BRADLEY ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		ELIZABET	H ROSEN	3692				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicated Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) X F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>3/1/2004</i>						
·								
′=	•	· 		osecution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims	•	,					
_		dication						
	Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or alastian rac	wiromont					
0)[Salm(s) <u>1-01</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election rec	ullement.					
Application	on Papers							
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10) <u> </u>	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	9-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,518 Page 2

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-18, drawn to verifying the authenticity of an asset based on the identification information from a unique identification tag, classified in class 340, subclass 5.1.
 - II. Claims 19-23 and 39-45, drawn to an identification tag and reader, classified in class 340, subclass 572.1.
 - III. Claims 24-30, drawn to an apparatus for acquiring identification from an ID tag that is associated to an asset, classified in class 340, subclass 572.1.
 - IV. Claims 31-38, drawn to determining whether a party to an agreement is complying with the agreement based on an identification tag for an asset, classified in class 340, subclass 5.1.
 - V. Claims 46-54, drawn to determining whether a party is complying with an agreement based on audit information, classified in class 340, subclass 5.1.
 - VI. Claims 55-61, drawn to remotely auditing an asset using a hash, classified in class 707, subclass E17.052.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I, II, III, IV, V, and VI are related as combination (Group I) and subcombinations (Groups II, III, IV, V, and VI). Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because subcombination II has separate utility because it is a system for remote verification that includes an asset, ID tag, reader, server, communications link, and database. Subcombination III has separate utility because it is the apparatus that is used for reading identification information which includes a housing, processor, memory, display, and bar code. Subcombination IV has separate utility because it includes the limitation of comparing the identification info that is read with the expected identification information and determining whether the party to the agreement is complying with the agreement. Subcombination V has separate utility because includes auditing an asset in order to determine whether a party is complying with an agreement. Subcombination VI has separate utility because it creates a hash based on information obtained from an identification tag.
- 4. The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any

Application/Control Number: 10/791,518 Page 3

Art Unit: 3692

claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Rosen whose telephone number is 571-270-1850. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am-6:00 pm est, alt Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached at 571-272-6702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,518 Page 4

Art Unit: 3692

/Nga B. Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692